PUBLICATION OF CERTAIN PROCEEDINGS OF THE ASSEMBLY IN "BHARATHI".

MR. PADIYARA JOSEPH KUNJU: സർ, ഒരു സംഗതി അദ്ധ്യക്ഷന്റെ ശ്രദ്ധയ്ക്ക് വിഷയീഭവിപ്പിക്കാനുണ്ട്. ഞാൻ ൧൯-ാംനു- വ്യാഴാഴ്ച ദിവസം ടാൻസ്പോർട്ട് ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെൻറിനെപ്പറ്റി ചിലതു ചോദിക്കുകയും അതിന് അങ്ങ് താക്കീതു ചെയ്യുകയും ചില മറുപടി പറയുകയും ചെയ്തു. ആ വിവരം ൧൧-ാംനു- വ്യാഴാഴ്ചയിലെ "ഭാരതി" എന്ന പ്രതിദിനപ്പത്രത്തിൽ പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തിയിരിക്കുന്നു അത് എങ്ങിനെ സംഭവിച്ചുവെന്നുള്ള വിവരം എനിക്കു മനസ്സിലാകുന്നില്ല.

PRESIDENT: I am at sea. I cannot follow.

MR. KAINIKKARA M. PADMANABHA PILLAI: What the President said on the 11th on the floor of this House has been published on the 9th.

PRESIDENT: I have known of newspapers post-dating their publication. I have known for instance one newspaper which appears to-day under date the day after to-morrow, as a dak edition. But I did not know that astrology and pre-vision was one of the prerogatives of the Press. I shall, however, look into the matter. Further than that I cannot say now. This is a matter which the honourable member might have brought to my notice earlier. Then I could have made further investigations. The honourable member may leave that paper with the Secretary and then renew his question or request tomorrow morning, when I shall deal with it. The case of the honourable member, I think, is that what took place on the floor of the House on the 11th is published in a newspaper purporting to bear date the 9th.

PADIYARA JOSEPH KUNJU: Yes, Sir.

MR. D. C. JOSEPH: The paper is generally published on Thursdays. But owing to certain unforeseen circumstances, the paper under reference had to be delayed in its publication.

PRESIDENT: Order, order. I have suggested that the matter is too mysterious for me to grasp the full import of it at present. Therefore I have asked the honourable member to hand over the paper to the Secretary so that I might investigate the matter, and the question may be discussed tomorrow morning.

Motion No. 21 (*contd*)

MR. K. G. GOVINDAN: I support the motion. Much has been said here regarding the necessity or otherwise of transferring the headquarters from Quilon to Mavelikara. Some of the honourable members have spoken in favour of the transfer and others against it. The historical importance of Quilon and Mavelikara have been referred to. One honourable gentleman referred to Muhammad Bin Tughlak and the disastrous effects or results of having transferred his capital to some other place. I would ask the honourable gentleman what disastrous results followed when the capital of India was transferred from Calcutta to Simla. So, it is not a question of the transfer alone. It is a question of who is at the head of the administration and what the conditions are. Now, Sir, I am fully aware of the fact that Government may not find it very convenient or easy to transfer the headquarters from Quilon to

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly